1	STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF WINONA	THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	In the Matter of the Civil Commitment of:	Court File No: 85-PR-24-46
5	David Austin Russell,	JARVIS HEARING
6	Respondent.	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
8		
9	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before	
10	the Honorable Carmaine Sturino, Judge of District Court, on	
11	Monday, December 2, 2024, at 11:05 a.m. via Zoom for Government.	
12		
13	APPEARANCES	
14		
15	Paul R. Ellison, A	Assistant Winona County Attorney,
16	Winona, Minnesota, appeared vi	a Zoom on behalf of the County of
17	Winona.	
18		
19	William L. H. Lubo	v, Esq., Golden Valley, Minnesota,
20	appeared via Zoom on behalf of the Respondent, who also appeared	
21	via Zoom.	
22		
23	ALSO PRESENT: Amy	Engel, Winona County Health and
24	Human Services.	
25		

1 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held:) 2 3 Good morning, everyone. We are here THE COURT: 4 in a civil commitment matter involving Davis Russell, Court File 5 85-PR-24-46. Mr. Russell is present with Attorney Lubov. 6 Attorney Paul Ellison is here from the county attorney's office. 7 Ms. Dannehy is here as Mr. Russell's guardian. 8 Any other -- I know we have a number of observers. 9 Any other party, though, that I've not mentioned? 10 Ms. Engel, your role? 11 MS. ENGEL: Commitment social worker for Winona 12 County. 13 Thank you so much. THE COURT: 14 MS. ENGEL: Yep. 15 THE COURT: All right. And before we begin, I'm 16 going to remind you that this will be conducted as a formal 17 There are no recordings allowed, either visual or courtroom. 18 audio. One person will speak at a time to ensure that we have a 19 clear record. 20 Just a brief overview is Ms. Dannehy, again, is 21 There is a court order for quardianship in 85-PR-19-1081 on here. 22 behalf of David Russell. There is an underlying civil commitment 23 and previous Jarvis order dated January 23, 2024. It was reviewed 24 again on June 25th and August 21st. 25 What brings us here today is a request for an

additional medication. That is the only thing we are here for today.

Mr. Ellison, I will hear from you first.

MR. ELLISON: Thank you, Your Honor.

I noted there's -- the ECT petition was withdrawn from Regions. The only request before the Court is to add Clozaril to the approved list of medications. It's my understanding that is just a -- would be as a backup medication.

Mr. Russell's been doing well, to my understanding, on his current medication and making progress.

So just asking that Clozaril be added to the current Jarvis order based on the Court's previous finding that a Jarvis order is appropriate. If the Court wants to limit it as being a backup medication only as needed, that would be fine with the petitioner. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And to be specifically clear, on the January 23rd order conclusion -- or order -- paragraph 3 had identified the specific medication types that were allowed. And then at the amended order of June 25th Conclusions of Law, paragraph 2 had identified the specific medications allowed. And then in the August 21st order the specific medications were not reviewed again; is that correct?

MR. ELLISON: I believe that's correct, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And --

```
1
                              Your Honor, may I be heard?
                    MR. LUBOV:
 2
                    THE COURT: Who was that?
 3
                    MR. LUBOV: William Lubov, Your Honor.
 4
    morning.
 5
                    THE COURT:
                               Yep. Yep. I'm getting to you.
                                                                 Ι
 6
    just want to make sure --
 7
                    MR. LUBOV:
                               Okay.
 8
                    THE COURT: -- I have everything that Mr. Ellison
9
    wants me to know.
10
                    Do you have additional exhibits or anything for
11
    today's hearing?
12
                    MR. ELLISON: I do not, Your Honor. It looks like
13
    there's not a provider here from the hospital so I have nothing
14
    else to present to the Court.
15
                    THE COURT: All right. Ms. Rosenfield (phonetic),
16
    what's your connection?
17
                    COURT CLERK: Your Honor, it doesn't seem that
18
    she's connected to audio.
19
                    THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Lubov, I will
20
    hear from you. And how do you like -- how would you like to
21
    proceed today?
22
                    MR. LUBOV: Your Honor, hold on. I'm getting just
23
    -- I'm not getting your screen. I'm getting Ms. Rosenfield's
24
    screen right now.
25
                                I hear a lot of typing. I don't know
                    THE COURT:
```

1 who's doing that. Ms. Rosenfield, I think that --2 COURT CLERK: Your Honor, I believe -- I think 3 we're going to have to mute her. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Lubov, whenever you're ready. 5 MR. LUBOV: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning. 6 First of all, I don't believe that we are properly 7 before you today for a number of reasons. 8 No. 1, no motion has been served to amend the 9 Jarvis order. 10 No. 2, no examiner has been qualified or has 11 written any report having to do with the medication Clozaril and, 12 therefore, I don't believe that that's properly before the Court. 13 No. 3, I have -- well, let me first of all offer 14 The letter from an individual by the name of Sadia, 15 S-A-D-I-A, Boyou, B-O-Y-O-U, APRN, CNP is not properly qualified 16 nor has she submitted a sworn statement in support of a request 17 for the amendment. 18 And most importantly, we do not have any 19 individual qualified to provide expert testimony to the Court 20 relative to the benefits and risks involved in considering the use 21 of Clozaril. 22 So the first part of the motion, of course, is we 23 don't belong in front of you this morning because the civil 24 procedure has not been followed; and secondly, the request made 25 was by an individual who is not qualified to make such a request.

I know that it may be done from time to time, but I'm standing upon the principle here that this is not a medical record. This is a communication with the Court from someone who is not qualified to render an opinion about Clozaril and has not provided any testimony that would provide the appropriate basis to authorize the use of Clozaril.

On those grounds, I don't believe that we have any basis or the Court does not have any basis to proceed today.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else that you want to say today on that?

MR. LUBOV: Me? I'm done. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: No. You're good. You're good.

Mr. Ellison, any response?

MR. ELLISON: Just briefly, Your Honor.

I guess my understanding -- there was some confusion, I'm guessing, on Regions part because of the change in the hearing for today. Honestly my Outlook has not been working this morning so I'm not sure if they had reached out to me otherwise. I don't have any real counters for Mr. Lubov's presentation for the Court. I can certainly follow up with Regions as needed to get a more voluminous -- or more documents filed with the Court if they are still wanting to proceed adding Clozaril other than what the medication -- the medications that Mr. Russell's current taking.

So if Your Honor wants to essentially do nothing

on today's hearing in accordance with Mr. -- excuse me -- what Mr. Lubov said, I don't really have any counters for what the -- the presentation he's making here today.

MR. LUBOV: And may I be further heard, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Absolutely. Go ahead.

MR. LUBOV: Thank you.

I don't know how the petitioner wants to proceed ultimately, obviously, but an affidavit describing risks or benefits would not be sufficient for your consideration. I would have to have the opportunity to cross-examine any individual offering an opinion as to the appropriateness of Clozaril for Mr. Russell.

THE COURT: All right. At this point I am simply going to agree with Mr. Lubov for the simple point that we are not properly here today. However Mr. Ellison wishes to go about that, if he wishes to a second time, is up to him. So you heard what Mr. Lubov says about the sufficiency of an affidavit versus a person. I will leave that to you to make your decision. I would anticipate the same objection at the next hearing. But if you do want this to be heard again, there would need to be a motion to amend the current Jarvis order with a supporting affidavit and then we will schedule a hearing for that.

All right. Mr. Lubov, anything else for you

today?

```
1
                    MR. LUBOV: Nothing further, Your Honor.
 2
    you.
 3
                    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ellison, any questions
 4
    for me on my order?
 5
                    MR. ELLISON: No, Your Honor. Am I -- I guess one
 6
    question. Am I drafting a proposed order for the Court or is the
 7
    Court handling that aspect?
 8
                    THE COURT: We will do that.
 9
                    MR. ELLISON: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                    THE COURT: The Court will.
11
                    Court clerk, anything for you?
12
                    COURT CLERK: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
13
                    THE COURT: I just want to be sure that Janna
14
    Weise (phonetic), Ph.D., is not who you were expecting from
15
    Regions and that she is an observer.
16
                    MR. ELLISON: I don't believe that's who I was
17
    expecting, Your Honor. I just looked at my Outlook. And with the
18
    holiday weekend, there was a breakdown in the communication here.
19
    I'll follow up as needed with Regions.
20
                    THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone.
                                                                  That
21
    will conclude our hearing then.
22
23
         (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned at 11:14 a.m.)
24
25
```

```
1
 2
 3
                                CERTIFICATE
 4
 5
    STATE OF MINNESOTA )
 6
    COUNTY OF WINONA
 7
 8
    I, Deborah A. Grebin, RPR, Official Court Reporter in and for the
9
    State of Minnesota, Third Judicial District, hereby certify that
10
    the foregoing 8 pages are a true and complete record of the
11
    proceedings held herein, as transcribed from the audio recordings.
12
13
14
    /s/Deborah A. Grebin Dated: December 30, 2024
15
    Deborah A. Grebin, RPR
    District Court Reporter
16
    Fillmore County Courthouse
    Preston, Minnesota 55965
17
    (507) 765-6029
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```