

**A Critique of Toronto Police Force Responses to “Mentally ill” Citizens in the Canadian Psychiatric Police State** *(Editorial note: This article is a revised and edited version of the writer’s submission, originally titled “Stop the Killing: A Critique of Toronto Police Force Responses to “Mentally Ill” Citizens”, to the Toronto Police Services Board at a public meeting on April 19, 2012 and was originally published under the title "STOP KILLING US!: A Critique of Toronto Police Responses to Psychiatric Survivors", in Voices: Newsletter of the Psychiatric Survivor Archives Toronto, vol.3 no.2 (May) 2012.)*

by Don Weitz

I am deeply concerned, in fact alarmed by what has become a virtual epidemic of police shootings-and-killings of people labeled “mentally ill.”The Toronto police have a disturbing history of targeting-tasering-shooting people labeled “mentally ill”, “potentially dangerous” or allegedly violent. Here is a short and partial list of ten men including their ages and dates of death of psychiatrized citizens, mostly young men whom the Toronto police killed since 1988. Since over half were men of African descent, this fact reflects the continuing racism in the Toronto Police Force. With the exceptions of Charles McGillvary who was labeled “mentally ill” and “mentally disabled” and Michael Eligon, who was also black and whose psychiatric diagnosis I don’t know, all were labeled “schizophrenic” - the most damning, stigmatizing and fraudulent label in psychiatry. These men’s deaths were driven by classism, racism, and mentalism. All were preventable: (1)

Lester Donaldson,44, Aug.9,1988

Robert Moses, 41, Sept. 29, 1994

Wayne Williams, 24, June 11,1996

Edmond Wai-Hong Yu, 35, Feb. 20, 1997

Otto Vass, 55, Aug.9, 2000

O’Brian Christopher-Reid, 26, June 13, 2004

Bryan De Bassige, 28, Feb.16, 2008

Jardine Douglas, 25, 2010

Charles McGillvary, 46, Aug.1, 2011

Michael Eligon, 29, Feb.16, 2012

So what is triggering these tragic police killings of mainly young men the police label “EDPs” (emotionally disturbed persons)? I believe there are two major reasons: The first is the very common stereotype-myth of the violent mental patient. This stereotype and myth is also propagated by the media. It’s mentalism or sanism - the false and stigmatizing belief that people labeled “mentally ill”, “psychotic” or “schizophrenic” are basically violent, unpredictable, and incompetent – inferior human beings. Numerous scientific studies have exploded this myth, conclusively proving that there is no significant relationship between “mental illness” and violence; the vast majority (95%) of violence in society is committed by so-called sane or normal people, and others on street drugs - not “the mentally ill.” It is also a fact that allegedly “mentally ill” people can and do make reasonable and responsible decisions, unless they’re incapacitated by heavy doses of psychiatric drugs and/or electroshock. (2)

The second reason is that the police are trained to use deadly force and issue commands - not dialogue, not emotional support - when faced with people who are allegedly “mentally ill” and carrying something that *might* be used as a weapon. Police academy “mental health” courses that include de-escalation techniques are based on a militaristic-hierarchical-command model – it’s doomed to fail. That’s because in this model there is virtually no room for dialogue, flexibility, sensitivity, emotional or social support. It’s not surprising that such “mental health” courses and training have had minimal or no major impact on the police force’s order-and-obey approach to people experiencing personal crises – crises that require flexibility, empathic communication, and non-threatening approaches. Police intervention in these crisis situations have resulted and will continue to result in more tragic and unnecessary deaths. While going through a serious personal crisis, psychiatric survivors such as Michael Eligon and Charles McGillivary are frequently traumatized or “freak out” – fear or panic sometimes triggered by seeing uniformed and armed police officers or the unnerving wailing of a police siren. In this tense, highly charged situation, the person in crisis needs someone who can and will

understand his or her situation and communicate calmly (“talk down”) in a non-threatening, supportive and empathic way - not uniformed policemen loudly ordering the person to obey or else.

Community Treatment Orders (CTOs), unfortunately enshrined in Ontario’s *Mental Health Act* since 2000, have been a total failure. CTOs, signed by psychiatrists, order forced psychiatric treatment (usually forced drugging and/or outpatient electroshock) and longer incarceration of psychiatric survivors who try to resist psychiatry-and-police interventions in their lives. For example, people can be forced back into a hospital or mental health centre for a longer time for refusing to “take their meds.”

A critical note re mobile response teams (MRT) or assertive community treatment teams (ACTT) is worth noting. Currently, there are 56 MRTs and 79 ACT teams spread across Ontario. (3) Each team consists of a police officer and nurse riding in a police car and dispatched by a police officer and based in various hospitals. These teams are allegedly set up to respond to and help people going through emotional crises in the community. In the Greater Toronto Area, there 11 ACT teams that the Ontario government established to enforce the notoriously coercive CTOs authorized in the *Mental Health Act*. This CTO law, an amendment to the Mental Health Act, is a draconian law that legitimizes forced psychiatric treatment (e.g., forced drugging) in the community and longer or indefinite involuntary committal – psychiatric imprisonment. As an extension of the police force and psychiatric system, these teams are intrusive and coercive. Further, they perpetuate psychiatry’s discredited medical model of “mental illness”, aggravate people’s fears of harm from forced drugging or electroshock and longer incarceration. They also violate people’s human rights including freedom - forcing people back into psychiatric facilities without a public hearing or trial if they refuse psychiatric drugs or stop seeing a doctor or therapist ordered under a CTO ‘agreement’. Many psychiatric survivor activists and lawyers believe CTOs violate the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, should be challenged in court as unconstitutional and abolished. (4,5)

#### Community Crisis Response Teams – A Proposed Pilot Project

Since the police are essentially not trained to deal peacefully and safely with people in

emotional or personal crisis, I propose this community-based alternative as a pilot project: Establish two Community Crisis Response Teams. Each team would consist of a small number (6-8) crisis workers including trained psychiatric survivors, street nurses and community health workers. Each team would be based in and accountable to a community health centre located in downtown Toronto, completely independent of the Toronto Police Force and Ontario's mental health system. All crisis workers would have special communication and crisis counselling skills, be knowledgeable about a broad spectrum of health crises and community resources, and be trained in using de-escalation methods by experienced street outreach workers and community health professionals. Their mandate would have two major goals:

1. To respond to personal crises such as attempted suicide, depression, loneliness or social isolation, and
2. To offer emotional and social support and referral information to people in crisis, including people experiencing drug "side effects" or withdrawal reactions.

Both teams would be educated in and work under an anti-oppression/antipsychiatry/anti-racist model that promotes mutual cooperation and respect, dialogue, personal empowerment, autonomy and human rights. The crisis worker's basic approach to a person in crisis would be informal, respectful, non-threatening, and supportive; any threat, pressure or coercion while offering assistance or support would be absolutely forbidden. Workers who feel their lives might be seriously threatened could immediately call the police – as a last resort. The project could start in Spring 2013 and continue for one year. Evaluation of its effectiveness should be carried out by a non-government, community-based research organization; a final report should be accessible online. Local community groups, social justice and advocacy organizations, and interested individual donors could be approached for possible funding.

I believe this community pilot project is a constructive, empowering, humane, and urgently needed alternative to coercive police intervention in personal crises that frequently end in serious injury or death. Most important, the proposed project can save people's lives and respects their rights.

## Notes

1. Before Toronto police shot 29-year old Michael Eligon at point blank range, over ten police officers swarmed him and did not try to talk with him or de-escalate the crisis situation. Trying to run away from Toronto East General Hospital (probably a psychiatric ward), Michael was wearing a hospital gown, slippers and carrying a pair of scissors, but never lunged at or attacked the police. Nevertheless, the Ontario government's Special Investigations Unit refuses to charge the policeman involved in his tragic death; see, "No blame in Eligon shooting," Toronto Star, March 20, 2012, pp.GT1,4.

2. J. Monahan, PhD, and J. Arnold (1996). "Violence by People with Mental Illness: A Consensus Statement," Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, Spring.  
*[There is] "...sensationalized reporting by the media whenever a violent act is committed by 'a former mental patient'...a weak association [exists] between mental disorders and violence...serious violence by people with major mental disorders appears concentrated in a small fraction.... Mental disorders...account for a minuscule portion of the violence that afflicts American society.*

3. Personal communication from Tori Gass, Media Relations Coordinator, Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Government of Ontario, May 9, 2012.

4. D. Weitz (2000). Fighting Words -- Community Treatment Orders and 'Brian's law'. Canadian Dimension, September/October.

5. E. Fabris (2011). *Tranquil Prisons: Chemical Incarceration Under Community Treatment Orders*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Biographical note: Don Weitz is psychiatric survivor, antipsychiatry and social justice activist, author of the e-book, *Rise Up/Fight Back: Selected Writings of an Antipsychiatry Activist*, and board member of Psychiatric Survivor Archives Toronto.